



**FINAL MINUTES AND AGENDA
TOWN OF JUPITER
TOWN COUNCIL MEETING
TOWN COUNCIL CHAMBERS
TUESDAY, JUNE 16, 2026**

Mayor Kuretski called the meeting to order at 7:00 P.M.

Roll Call: Mayor Jim Kuretski; Councilor Ron Delaney; Councilor Dan Guisinger; Councilor Malise Sundstrom; Town Manager Frank Kitzerow; Town Attorney Thomas J. Baird and Town Clerk Merriane Lahmeur. Vice-Mayor Phyllis Choy was absent.

PROCLAMATION

1. Waste & Recycling Worker Week, June 15 - 21, 2026.

Mayor Kuretski presented the proclamation to Mr. Jeff Sabin, Ms. Megan Kossove and Ms. Avadawn Bailey-Tait with Waste Management.

CITIZEN COMMENTS

Ms. Kathleen Waddell, resident of Winding Lake, expressed concerns regarding the involvement of the Jupiter Police Department in immigration related matters.

Ms. Linda McDermott, resident of Chadwick Drive, discussed residents dancing on the beach and the impact it had on sea turtles during the nesting season.

Ms. LeAnne Labeck, resident of Carver Avenue, noted the blinking red light near Cinquez Fire Station was disturbing the area and she also requested that residents be provided with educational resources to help protect local wildlife.

Ms. Elizabeth Murray, resident of Paradise Harbor Boulevard, spoke about the effect that dancing on the beach has on sea turtles during the nesting season and suggested that Council keep El Sol in the municipal complex.

Mr. Brett Leone, resident of Barbados Drive, recognized 11 Jupiter residents who had served in the military and made the ultimate sacrifice. He noted that residents had collected donations to purchase memorial bricks in their honor for installation at the Town's Veterans Memorial.

Mayor Kuretski asked Mr. Frank Kitzerow, Town Manager, to provide an update regarding residents' concerns about dancing on the beach. Mr. Kitzerow stated that he had spoken with Police Chief Hennessy and Deputy Police Chief Kimbark. He noted that they had contacted the key stakeholders, who agreed to meet, and that an update would be provided after those discussions.

MINUTES

2. June 2, 2026, Town Council Meeting Minutes.

Councilor Delaney moved to approve the June 2, 2026, Town Council Meeting Minutes, as amended; seconded by Councilor Sundstrom; motion passed.

Kuretski
Yes

Delaney
Yes

Guisinger
Yes

Sundstrom
Yes

CONSENT AGENDA

All items listed in this portion of the agenda are considered routine by the Town Council and will be enacted by one motion. There will be no separate discussion of these items unless a Councilor or citizen so requests; in which event, the item will be removed and considered at the beginning of the regular agenda.

Councilor Guisinger moved to approve the Consent Agenda; seconded by Councilor Delaney; motion passed.

Kuretski
Yes

Delaney
Yes

Guisinger
Yes

Sundstrom
Yes

PUBLIC HEARING

3. Ordinance 11-26, Second Reading. Approval to Amend Chapter 10 of the Town Code, Fire Prevention and Protection to Adopt the Florida Fire Prevention Code and Uniform Fire Safety Standards.

Title for Ordinance 11-26 was read by Mr. Baird.

4. Issuance of Trespass Warning on Public Property

A. Ordinance 25-26, Second Reading. Approval to Amend Chapter 11 of the Town Code, to Include Procedures for the Issuance of Trespass Warnings on Public Property.

Title for Ordinance 25-26 was read by Mr. Baird.

B. Ordinance 28-26, Second Reading. Approval to Amend Chapter 8 of the Town Code, to Expand the Jurisdiction of the Special Magistrate to Include Trespass Appeals.

Title for Ordinance 28-26 was read by Mr. Baird.

PUBLIC BUSINESS

5. Approving the Town Council First Budget Workshop Meeting, June 18, 2026, at 6:00 p.m.#

6. Approval of a Permit Fee Study for the Town's Building and Fire Rescue Departments and a Water Rate Study for the Town's Water Utility.
7. Approving the Purchase and Installation of Fire Station Alerting Hardware and Software in the amount of \$66,125.
8. Approving the Purchase of the Second Set of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) from Bennett Fire Products Co., Inc. in the amount of \$472,125.
9. Approving a Purchase Order to Southern Sewer Equipment Sales, under the Sourcewell Cooperative Contract #080525-MNC, for a Minicam Camera Inspection System for an amount of \$122,043.
10. **Resolution 57-26**, Approving the Town of Jupiter 2026-2027 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Action Plan in the amount of \$327,086.
11. **Resolution 58-26**, Approving a Contract with All Webbs Enterprises, Inc. for RO14 Wellhead Construction (W2011E) for an amount of \$1,861,100.
12. **Resolution 79-26**, Approving the Assignment and Assumption of an Existing Contract for Repair of Pumps and Electric Motors (W2414C) to MCE Tripp Electric Motors, LLC.

REGULAR AGENDA

PUBLIC HEARING

13. **Resolution 61-26**, Adopting the Non-Ad Valorem Assessment for Fire Rescue Services.

Mr. Scott Reynolds, Chief Financial Officer, stated that the Town had received five formal written objections to the Non-Ad Valorem (NAV) Assessment, which were presented to the Council. He also highlighted outreach efforts undertaken since the last meeting, which included the creation of a short video that he presented. Mr. Reynolds then gave a brief presentation on the NAV, which included an explanation of Resolution 61-26, available funding options, an overview of immediate savings for property owners, examples of savings for both residential and commercial properties, and a summary of next steps.

Councilor Delaney inquired about what Palm Beach County (PBC) would have charged the Town in the following year. Mr. Reynolds stated that the information was not available and noted that PBC had wanted the Town to transition to the countywide rate, which would have doubled the cost to \$64 million this year.

Mayor Kuretski stated that he had previously asked about the incorporation of Option 1A, which included a sales tax component, but had not yet received a response. He inquired why that was not an option to be considered. Mr. Reynolds responded that the Town needed a mechanism to cover debt service obligations, and the two options allowed were either a NAV Assessment or a Sales Tax option.

Mayor Kuretski next asked whether the tax bill would show two separate Ad Valorem line items, one for the General Fund and another for fire services. Mr. Reynolds

responded that the Ad Valorem would be combined and appear as a single line item.

Mayor Kuretski explained that the incremental increase would be less than what PBC would have charged and would have been 92% or \$22 million. He asked if the Town could choose to use Ad Valorem taxes to fund a bond to pay for the debt and include it in the cost that the public is paying. Mr. Reynolds explained that the use of Ad-Valorem taxes for a bond issue would require approval through a referendum voted on by the residents. He added that if the Town chose to implement a NAV Assessment, the revenues would be accounted for in a separate special revenue fund. If the Council decided not to implement the NAV Assessment, the sales tax revenues and expenses would be incorporated into the General Fund, similar to the Police Department. It was noted that either way, there would still be savings.

Councilor Sundstrom asked what options were available for a NAV Assessment, considering the strict legal requirements. Mr. Reynolds responded that the most common approach would be a flat fee for residential properties, while commercial and industrial properties would be assessed based on square footage. He noted that Staff recommended the square-foot-based Assessment because of the large disparity in residential structure sizes in the Town and based on the application of NFPA Standards, which are fire protocols.

Councilor Sundstrom reiterated for homes in Jupiter there is a wide range in sizes and they could range from 1,000 square feet to 40,000 square feet. She stated that Assessments must be based on property and they have to be fair and reasonable, and asked if the two Assessment methods were permitted by the State and tested. Mr. Reynolds confirmed and reiterated that the Assessment must provide a benefit to the property.

Councilor Sundstrom next asked how the uncertainty surrounding future Ad-Valorem tax revenues could affect debt service obligations. Mr. Reynolds responded that Staff had always viewed the NAV Assessment as an alternative revenue source that could provide stability in tax rates, enhance borrowing capacity, and support future bond issuances.

Councilor Guisinger asked about the total debt service associated with the fire stations. Mr. Reynolds stated that Staff had received Council authorization to borrow up to \$20 million but anticipated achieving some savings. Councilor Guisinger then asked how long it would take to repay the debt. Mr. Reynolds responded that the repayment period would be 15 years, although it could be shorter.

Councilor Guisinger also inquired about how information had been communicated to nursing homes when notices were distributed. He noted that nursing homes were being assessed at a lower commercial rate as opposed to a nursing home rate and asked if there were any stipulations communicated to them. Mr. Reynolds stated that the Town had not conducted any additional communication regarding the matter and added that Fire Chief Donatto would be discussing it with the nursing homes and there would be a request for behavior modifications.

Mayor Kuretski opened the item up for public comment:

Mr. Michael Levas, resident of East Whitney Circle, had concerns regarding the potential impact of property taxes initiative, including possible effects on the NAV Assessment and future tax increases.

Ms. LeAnn Labeck, a resident of Carver Avenue, stated that she found it noteworthy that the NAV fee, which had been advocated over the past three years, had not been raised during earlier discussions regarding funding. She said she wished greater transparency had been provided earlier, particularly given that residents would not have the opportunity to vote on the issue. Ms. Labeck also expressed concerns about the financial impact on residents and the effects associated with the construction of the fire stations.

Mr. Craig Mowrey, a resident of Blanca Isles Lane, thanked Staff for providing the informational video and email. He discussed the research he had conducted on the matter and stated that he believed pursuing an alternative source of funding was a prudent approach.

Ms. Linda McDermott, a resident of Chadwick Drive, discussed the proposed fire Assessment, associated debt obligations, future funding needs, and capital replacement costs. She expressed concerns regarding future Assessment increases and long-term capital expenditures but noted that residents would have an opportunity to provide input on the Assessment each year.

Ms. Amy Teitscheid, a resident of Riverwalk Lane, stated that taxes were likely to increase and expressed the view that residents should have the opportunity to vote on the proposed Assessment before it is approved.

Mayor Kuretski stated that when the Council made the decision to create its own Fire Department approximately 34 months earlier there was no legislative referendum anticipated. He noted that the decision was made because the Council believed the Town could save at least \$5.5 million in the first year, with the potential for even greater savings.

Mayor Kuretski also explained that the NAV Assessment was not something they knew was going to be done from day one. He wanted to be clear that he still did not support the NAV. He also explained that Council knew it could only be an option after an official study was conducted, which is required for a NAV Assessment to be considered, and that the Council did not learn of how the Assessment would be calculated or the amount of the proposed Assessment until approximately three months earlier.

Mayor Kuretski discussed the proposed legislative referendum regarding property taxes, stating that it had created confusion among residents. He noted that the referendum was expected to appear on the November ballot, and the impact would depend on how people voted. He stated that he could not base his decision on an event that may or may not occur.

Mayor Kuretski also discussed the Town's negotiations with PBC, noting that the existing agreement contained provisions under which costs would continue to

escalate. He stated that PBC was considering the construction of a fire station outside the Town of Jupiter that would partially serve Jupiter, resulting in additional costs to the Town. He also referenced the need for renovations of the existing fire stations. He explained that had the Town remained, in addition to the \$35 million, the Town would be paying millions of dollars more for these items.

Mayor Kuretski reiterated his concerns for the NAV Assessment, and the disparities in the treatment of single-family homes, townhomes, and condominiums, as it relates to the total square footage calculations. He further stated that there are 15 different neighborhoods that have townhomes and condos with garages, porches and balconies, that would not be assessed on the higher square footage and would be getting a comparatively lower fire Assessment fee than single-family residents. He viewed this as creating a fundamental disparity that was neither fair nor equitable. As a result, he stated that he still could not support the proposed Assessment with a methodology based on square footage sizes that exponentially increase with increasing sizes. He believes this is also unfair and not equitable. He stated that the Council could direct Staff to conduct a new study if it wished to pursue an alternative methodology in the future.

Mayor Kuretski next explained that Vice-Mayor Choy had scheduled out-of-town travel approximately three months earlier and she was troubled that she would miss this important meeting. He stated that the Vice-Mayor regretted not being present for the discussion.

Mayor Kuretski inquired if Mr. Reynolds wanted to clarify any of the misunderstandings they had heard from speakers this evening. Mr. Reynolds stated that the initial discussions regarding PBC Fire Rescue always included consideration of inspection fees and other alternative revenue sources to help fund fire and emergency services. He explained that these options were evaluated as potential means of offsetting costs and reducing the financial burden on taxpayers.

Councilor Delaney stated that the projected cost in the following year would be approximately \$25 million under the proposed funding approach, compared to an estimated \$35 million by PBC.

Councilor Delaney also wanted to clarify to the residents that the fire station on the waterfront property is across from an existing fire station next to Burt Reynolds Park, and the Town had sought to acquire this station from PBC. He explained that the waterfront property, called Piat, has been owned by the Town for years. He also discussed the new fire station at the Cinquez site, noting that the Town already owned this property as well, and had not incurred any additional land acquisition costs. He stated that this site had previously been considered for a Target, but now had a dog park, and it was an ideal location for a fire station.

Councilor Delaney further stated that the proposed NAV Assessment was not a new tax or a tax increase, but rather a different funding mechanism for fire and emergency services.

Councilor Sundstrom thanked Mayor Kuretski for his comments and stated that she

believed the information was reasonable.

Councilor Sundstrom next wanted to discuss concerns regarding the possibility of future increases to the NAV Assessment and noted that there were currently no plans to increase the Assessment. She asked what would be required if the Town needed to increase the Assessment in the future, noting that it was a very rigorous and transparent process. Mr. Reynolds responded that any increase would require compliance with the statutory NAV Assessment process, including mailed notices to affected property owners, public hearings, and Council action. He noted that the process was transparent and provided opportunities for public input before any increase could be adopted.

Councilor Sundstrom commented that neither a flat-fee Assessment nor a square-footage-based Assessment was a perfect solution. In response, Mr. Reynolds stated that Staff had asked the consultant to reevaluate the Assessment methodology and compare the impacts of a flat-fee approach. He reported that the analysis indicated approximately 20% of residential properties would pay less, 30% would pay approximately the same, and 50% would pay more.

Councilor Sundstrom also asked whether a 15% Assessment allocation was common for municipalities. Mr. Reynolds responded that the appropriate allocation depends on the needs and circumstances of each community. He explained that the Town's focus was on funding debt service obligations while ensuring residents experienced overall savings.

Councilor Guisinger acknowledged that no approach is perfect, and that under the proposed NAV Assessment, his own Assessment would increase. However, he stated that he was comfortable with the additional cost given the high-quality level of fire and emergency services that would be provided.

Councilor Guisinger noted that the NAV Assessment was being proposed primarily to fund debt service obligations and asked what would occur once the debt had been paid off. Mr. Reynolds responded that the Assessment could potentially be eliminated at that time.

Councilor Guisinger stated that he believed the proposed methodology represented the best and fairest option available under the circumstances. He also expressed his appreciation to Staff, the consultants, and all those involved for their work and efforts throughout the process.

Mayor Kuretski asked Mr. Reynolds whether he had heard of other local governments exploring NAV Assessments in response to the proposed legislative referendum and its potential impact on local government revenues. Mr. Reynolds confirmed.

Mayor Kuretski stated that the Council's decision that evening should be based on the information currently available and not on the outcome of the proposed referendum, which may or may not affect the Town in the future. He emphasized that his position was not based on the pending referendum.

Mayor Kuretski stated that he believed the Ad-Valorem funding option was the fairest

option because it would provide the same percentage reduction to all properties. He explained that the costs would be distributed proportionately based on property values, which takes into consideration the square footage, resulting in an equitable allocation among property owners. He reiterated his argument that the proposed NAV Assessment was unfair and not equitable, and that he could not support it.

Councilor Delaney moved to approve Resolution 61-26; seconded by Councilor Sundstrom; motion passed.

Kuretski
No

Delaney
Yes

Guisinger
Yes

Sundstrom
Yes

ROUNDTABLE

14. Decorative and Aesthetic Element – A1A and Jupiter Beach Road Roundabout.

Ms. Satu Oksanen, Neighborhood Services Manager and Staff Liaison for the Art Committee, presented the proposed enhancement project for the A1A and Jupiter Beach Road roundabout. She reviewed the Request for Qualifications (RFQ) process, which resulted in 11 submissions, six of which met the project requirements. The Art Committee evaluated the qualifying proposals and selected three finalists. Staff asked for Council direction on whether to proceed with one of the three finalists, move forward with one of the other submissions, reissue the RFQ, or consider other ideas for the roundabout.

Mayor Kuretski thanked the Art Committee and Staff for their efforts on the project. He noted that the number one ranked proposal received 78% of the total possible points and given the significant investment, he had hoped to see more of a "wow" factor. He also expressed concerns about the potential for damage at the roundabout and indicated that he was comfortable pausing the project at this time to possibly come back to it at a later date.

Councilor Sundstrom asked whether this approach would include reissuing the RFQ. Mayor Kuretski stated that it would be premature to reissue the RFQ at that time. Councilor Sundstrom supported pausing the project, stating that none of the proposals provided the "wow" effect she would expect for a \$150,000 investment, although she was somewhat supportive of the number one ranked proposal. She also expressed concerns regarding potential safety issues and requested additional information on how those concerns could be addressed in a future design.

Councilor Guisinger expressed his support for the first option. He mentioned that the proposal envisioned that the fish would appear to be swimming in the direction of traffic flow and asked whether this could create a safety concern or distraction for drivers. Ms. Oksanen stated that the Art Committee had taken safety into consideration.

Councilor Guisinger also asked whether the roundabout had electrical supply for spotlighting or other enhancements. Ms. Oksanen stated that it did not currently, but that it would be part of the site work and project costs.

Councilor Delaney discussed the history of the roundabout and stated that he did not support installing a piece of artwork that could be a safety risk or obstruct drivers' sight. He noted that the number one ranked proposal maintained a low profile, allowing drivers to see over it.

Councilor Delaney then asked Mayor Kuretski to clarify his suggestion to pause the project. Mayor Kuretski stated that he was recommending not to move forward with the current proposals and instead pause the project for the time being. Councilor Delaney stated that he was comfortable with pausing the project, however, he mentioned that he would like any future design to be similar to the number one ranked and maintain a low profile to help visibility and safety within the roundabout.

Councilor Guisinger asked if it would be possible to see what the number one ranked proposal looked like within the roundabout to better understand its placement and safety concerns. Councilor Delaney also requested clearer renderings of the proposed artwork.

Councilor Sundstrom asked for input from the Art Committee members present. Ms. Penny Payne and Ms. Elizabeth Dashiell, members of the Art Committee, provided a brief overview of the project including safety concerns, maintenance requirements, and visibility.

Councilor Guisinger asked whether residents of the surrounding neighborhoods had reviewed the submissions. Ms. Oksanen stated that they had not. Councilor Guisinger suggested asking for feedback from nearby residents.

Mayor Kuretski thanked the Art Committee and Staff for their input and hard work.

Councilor Guisinger thanked the Art Committee, Town Staff, and the artists who submitted renderings.

There was a consensus to pause the project and make no recommendation at this time.

REPORTS

TOWN ATTORNEY –

- Nuisance Activity Complaint– Mr. Baird provided an update on the nuisance complaint regarding a large number of cats on a property and reported that the Town is required by law to provide two notices to the property owner to abate the nuisance, and the first was served by Code Enforcement and the Police Department on Monday, June 15. The property owner has ten days to abate the nuisance. If the nuisance is not abated, a second notice will go out, and the owner will then have fourteen days to abate the nuisance. He stated that if the nuisance is still not abated, a complaint will be filed in the circuit court to seek a preliminary injunction. He noted that he was hopeful that it would not have to go to that extent,

but the nuisance has existed for some time, and a Code Enforcement Order has been accruing a fine for the last two years, so it does not appear the property owner wants to comply.

Mayor Kuretski stated that the Council had received a copy of the notice, and he expressed his apologies to the members of the neighborhood for what they have gone through. He expressed appreciation for the Town Attorney and the additional processes now taking place.

Councilor Sundstrom expressed appreciation for the Town Attorney's actions and hoped that the matter could be brought to a resolution. She also expressed a desire to see the process used for any future matters.

Councilor Guisinger inquired about Palm Beach County Animal Control's response to the matter. Mayor Kuretski explained that there had been some success in the reduction of the population from their efforts, but that the issue has been ongoing for a long time. There was a brief discussion on reduction efforts.

TOWN MANAGER –

- Summer Camp Programs - Mr. Kitzerow reported that the Parks and Recreation programs are in full swing, serving a total of 554 children throughout the community. He stated that last week the Town's Sports Camp kicked off, welcoming 211 participants, ages 5-14, at facilities across Town, and 343 campers, ages 5-14, were welcomed on Monday for the start of the Summer Day Camp. He noted that the camps provide safe, engaging and enriching opportunities for the Town's young residents throughout the summer. Mr. Kitzerow expressed appreciation for the Parks and Recreation Staff for all of their hard work.
- Bush Road Closure - Mr. Kitzerow provided a reminder that Bush Road would be closed to traffic from Thursday, June 18 through Friday, July 3, to allow the Seaglass Townhomes project being built at 550 Bush Road to tie into the wastewater pipe that runs down the middle of the road. He explained that residents will still have access to their homes. Town Staff is monitoring the work and will encourage the expedited completion of the project.
- Anchored Vessels - Mr. Kitzerow reported that three long term anchored vessels had recently been removed from Jupiter's waterways as part of the Town's Strategic Initiative aimed at protecting Jupiter's waterways. Since 2024, 24 at-risk or derelict vessels have been removed. Mr. Kitzerow thanked Chief Hennessy and the JPD team.
- Employee Recognition - Mr. Kitzerow congratulated the following employees:
 - Tony DiCuia, the Town's Chief Water Plant Operator, was recognized by the Southeast Desalting Association (SEDA) at their Annual Symposium as the 2026 SEDA Operator of the year.
 - Hunter Gaines, Building Department, for receiving his license as a standard Building Inspector. Mr. Gaines is the Town's first employee to enter and complete the Town's Building Inspector Internship Program.

- Town Events -
 - Monday, June 22, at 2:00 p.m. – The Jupiter Police Department will swear-in four new Officers.
- Employee Service Anniversaries - Mr. Kitzerow recognized the following employees for their years of service:
 - Frederick Lee, Utilities Department – 5 years.
 - Jim Brown, Building Department – 10 years.
 - Captain Joe Farinacci, Police Department – 20 years.

**TOWN COUNCIL – LIAISON REPORTS AND COMMENTS –
COUNCILOR GUISINGER:**

- Employee Recognition - Councilor Guisinger congratulated Mr. DiCuia and Mr. Gaines for their achievements. He noted it was great to have an in-house Florida approved Building Inspector program that Mr. Gaines could voluntarily participate in and work side by side with a more experienced Inspector.

Mr. Kitzerow explained that the Town is working to help employees get to the next level in pursuing their careers at many different levels in the organization.

- Finance Department - Councilor Guisinger expressed appreciation to Mr. Reynolds and his team for all of the work done in the last eight months for the NAV.

COUNCILOR SUNDSTROM:

- Finance Department - Councilor Sundstrom expressed appreciation to the Finance team for their work on the NAV, including the extra outreach with the video, the email and the calculator.
- World Sea Turtle Day - Councilor Sundstrom wished everyone a happy world sea turtle day.
- Beach Event Meeting - Councilor Sundstrom inquired if the stakeholder meeting scheduled for the next day was open to the public. She noted there were many different layers to the matter, including legal and jurisdictional, and asked JPD to provide additional information about the upcoming meeting.

Deputy Chief Scott Kimbark, Jupiter Police Department, stated that the issue was very complex, noting that an action plan had been created and that it was decided to move forward with a collaborative approach with all of the stakeholders. He stated that the event organizer seemed very willing to work with all of the stakeholders, so that they could do their event and the rights of others and the sea turtles could also be protected. The goal is to collaboratively work together to come to a solution before going down other avenues.

Councilor Sundstrom expressed appreciation for JPD's leadership on the matter and the proactive approach to bring all of the parties together.

Mayor Kuretski requested to be provided laws or guidelines that the Council could enact that will make it easier for action in the future if other events occur and issues arise.

Councilor Sundstrom stated that JPD has always *had* a good understanding of how *proposed policies must* uniquely fit Jupiter. She encouraged them to bring forth any proposals and noted that they have Council's support. ML 7/7/26

Councilor Delaney spoke briefly about a previous time when a meeting of all stakeholders took place for a community issue at the Bluffs and the success of those meetings. He noted that the approach JPD is taking is the best approach. Councilor Delaney also stated that at the moment there's a lot of consternation between the groups, and there needs to be a balance between residents' rights and wildlife rights.

COUNCILOR DELANEY:

- El Sol - Councilor Delaney stated that he had previously expressed that El Sol needed to move forward as its current location was not meant to be a forever deal. He explained that while the speaker during citizen comments may have been from North Palm Beach, the Council represents the residents of Jupiter, and the building is currently being leased for a dollar a year on prime Town real estate. He further explained that no one wanted to close them down, and that their lease was just renewed for another five years.

MAYOR KURETSKI:

- Bush Road Closure - Mayor Kuretski stated that during the last closure he had spent personal time on the site observing, and that the sanitary sewer line is way down in the center of the roadway. He explained that during that closure, because the line was so deep, the contractor had to put a second layer of dewatering, which caused delays. He expressed his desire for the Engineering Director to inform the next contractor of this issue in order to avoid delays. Mayor Kuretski expressed appreciation of the timing of the closure during the summer.

ADJOURNMENT – 9:07 P.M.

Merriane Lahmeur, Town Clerk